BIRD ICRDE: Task 17 - ICS Security by Design





Israel-U.S. Energy Center (Cyber Topic) 2023.03.18



Task 17 deals with the future



- = > We are not bound to current concepts
- We are not trying to predict the future; we try to be visionary
- We propose a framework for achieving the Security by Design goal



Security by Design









Law and regulations

Industry requirements will force the use computerized devices at all levels

of the Purdue model

We do not negate any security standard, or best practice, but rather, we mandate them





We propose a framework consists of

- Proposing to construct an ecosystem that includes all participants
- **Non-technological Issues**
- **Technological Issues**

Framework - Ecosystem









Governance

Board and Senior management

Internal audit

CISO

appointment, status in the organizational hierarchy, responsibilities





Standards, best practices and accreditation

Asset management

Inventory, ownership, acceptable use, mapping and classification

Security infrastructure requirements

Access control, remote access control, encryption, certification, Date and time synchronization, audit logs, integrity, data, physical security, maintenance, risk assessment and audit, SIEM/SOC,...

Architectural elements

Network

Security by Design for system development





Standards, best practices and accreditation

Asset management

Security infrastructure requirements

Architectural elements

A list to requirements related to SbD implementation

Network

Network management, network elements, encryption

Security by Design for system development





Standards, best practices and accreditation

Asset management

Security infrastructure requirements

Architectural elements

Network

Security by Design for system development

SbD requirements from each phase of the SDLC – requirements, design, development, testing, deployment, maintenance, disposal

Security solutions in academic papers – A survey









No silver bullet

= > searching and surveying suggested solutions setting criteria for each solution type setting some general criteria common to all solutions





- **Groups of solutions**
 - Encryption
 - Authentication
 - Visibility
 - Blockchain
 - Zero trust
 - **Digital twin**
 - **Network segmentation**





Common

Performance – CPU overhead, Memory overhead, Latency

Testing – Theoretical, simulation test, lab test, real environment test

Scalability

Operational on – The environment the solution is designed to operate, communication protocols

Interaction – Interaction with other security solution,

Latency – Time to have results of a computational process

Algorithm

Target – Data-in-move, data-at-rest, data-in-use

Strength – Cryptographic strength

Implementation aspects

Implemented by – Hardware, Software

Limitations – Attack resistance, vulnerable to,

Compatibility – Down version compatibility, HW





Dov Shirtz



Basic attributes – HW based, historical data, cryptography used, Inheritance

- **Implementation features**
 - Requires additional components Tokens, TEVM
 - Encryption
 - **Mutual authentication**

Work environment

Device, user information – CPU, communication and memory overhead

Memory

Data, Data files

Network

Logs

Detectability of irregularities



Dov Shirtz







Туре

Consensus algorithms

Platform

Governance model

Storage